



## U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536

File:

EAC 00 139 53392

Office: Vermont Service Center

JAN 18 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition:

Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as an Alien of Extraordinary Ability Pursuant to Section

203(b)(1)(A) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(1)(A)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



## PUBLIC COPY

## INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, **EXAMINATIONS**

Robert P. Wiemann, Director Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be summarily dismissed.

The petitioner seeks classification as an employment-based immigrant pursuant to section  $203\,(b)\,(1)\,(A)$  of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C.  $1153\,(b)\,(1)\,(A)$ , as an alien of extraordinary ability as a hospital administrator. The director determined the petitioner had not established that he has earned sustained national or international acclaim.

8 C.F.R. 103.3(a)(1)(v) states, in pertinent part:

An officer to whom an appeal is taken shall summarily dismiss any appeal when the party concerned fails to identify specifically any erroneous conclusion of law or statement of fact for the appeal.

On the Form I-290B Notice of Appeal, filed on February 12, 2001, counsel indicated that a brief would be forthcoming within thirty days. To date, eleven months later, careful review of the record reveals no subsequent submission; all other documentation in the record predates the issuance of the notice of decision.

The statement on the appeal form reads simply:

The Service erred in its determination that petitioner had not met his burden for a national interest waiver. It erred in its determination that petitioner's evidence was not objective and did not establish his extraordinary ability as a hospital administrator.

This is a general statement which makes no <u>specific</u> allegation of error. The bare assertion that the director somehow erred in rendering the decision is not sufficient basis for a substantive appeal. We note that the reference to the national interest waiver is not applicable to the wisa classification sought (i.e. extraordinary ability under section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Act).

Inasmuch as counsel has fai d to identify specifically an erroneous conclusion of law or tatement of fact as a basis for the appeal, the appeal must be marily dismissed.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.